



Morningstar® Global Fund Report



The Morningstar Global Fund Report is a seven-page report that offers the most relevant data and analysis surrounding a fund. Central to this report is the Morningstar Analyst Rating, which evaluates funds on five key pillars, considering both numeric as well as qualitative factors. This approach puts only partial weight on past performance and backward-looking risk measures and does not dismiss funds that have underperformed or have limited track records. It will also be more responsive to significant changes at a fund or parent organization.

Mutual Fund A

ABCDX




Morningstar Category US OE Large Blend	Category Index Russell 1000 TR USD	Total Assets 11,838 Mil USD	Inception Date 07/22/96	Domicile USA	Currency USD	Morningstar Rating ★★
--	--	--	-----------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------	---------------------------------



People

Fund Manager	07/96
Fund Comanager	12/98
Total Named Managers	2



Occasional rocky stretches, but strong long-term results.

Analyst View

Morningstar Analyst, CFA
Senior Mutual Fund Analyst

Morningstar Analyst Rating

Morningstar Pillars

Process Page 2-3	Positive
Performance Page 4	Positive
People Page 5	Positive
Parent Page 6	Neutral
Price Page 7	Neutral

Morningstar Analyst Rating

Morningstar evaluates mutual funds based on five key pillars, which its analysts believe lead to funds that are more likely to outperform over the long term on a risk-adjusted basis.

Analyst Rating Spectrum

Gold	Silver	Bronze	Neutral	Negative
------	--------	--------	---------	----------

May 21, 2012 | Mutual Fund has ebbed and flowed, but it can reward the patient.

After landing in the category's basement in 2011, this fund made up some ground in early 2012. Financial picks that hurt last year recovered, fueling the fund's 16.5% first-quarter gain. A jittery market in recent months has erased some of those gains, however. The fund has historically performed worse than largeblend peers in down markets, with its contrarian nature and significant stake in foreign equities (nearly a third of assets) going hand in hand with heightened volatility.

Nonetheless, longtime manager Fund Manager is not shying away from controversial holdings. Earlier this year he bought Chesapeake Energy CHK, whose stock price has since plunged further on liquidity concerns and corporate governance problems. Holdings like that often lead to rocky stretches for the fund, but they reflect how Manager has invested for decades. He looks for companies trading at a 25% discount to their estimated intrinsic values and often buys

more on further declines. For instance, he added to Japanese trading firm Itochu in the aftermath of last year's tsunami, pleased it had half of its business tied to fast-growing economies outside the country. The stock is up double digits for the past year through mid-May.

While venturing overseas and seeking lesser-known names across the market-cap spectrum have long defined this fund, Manager also finds value in more established names. He bought Microsoft MSFT in late 2011 (the third time he's owned it in his career), saying it's cheap, has a reasonable yield, and can improve margins.

Manager has successfully implemented this strategy for years, with the fund's rolling three-year returns since his 1996 start date landing in the category's top quartile 73% of the time. Unfortunately, shareholders haven't captured all those gains: The fund's dollar-weighted returns are significantly worse than its total returns. Patient investors willing to deal with some volatility should do well.



Morningstar has conducted qualitative, analyst-driven research on funds since 1986. An essential complement to our database of investment information and our suite of quantitative research tools, such as the Morningstar Rating™ and the Morningstar Style Box,™ Morningstar fund analysis has always had a focus on helping the user make better investment decisions.

A Morningstar Analyst Rating™ The Morningstar Analyst Rating is a forward-looking assessment that reflects our analysts' opinions about a fund's long-term potential for superior risk-adjusted performance. Each fund's strengths and weaknesses are measured across five key pillars: Process, Performance, People, Parent, and Price. Using these assessments, a fund is then assigned one of the five Analyst Ratings: Gold, Silver, Bronze, Neutral, and Negative. This rating is based on the rigorous assessment of a fund using both quantitative and qualitative inputs along with insights gleaned directly from manager interviews by a Morningstar fund analyst. The final Analyst Rating assignment is made in conjunction with the relevant asset-class ratings committee, which is composed of senior analysts and directors. The Analyst Rating does not express a view on a given asset class or peer group; rather, it seeks to evaluate each fund within the context of its objective, an appropriate benchmark, and peer group.

The Morningstar Rating for funds (the star rating) remains a purely quantitative measure based on risk-adjusted past performance, and it is distinct from the Analyst Rating. In fact, Morningstar analysts may apply an Analyst Rating of Neutral or Negative to a 5-star fund, or conversely, a positive Analyst Rating to a fund that earns a low number of stars.

Analyst Rating Scale

Gold Best-of-breed fund that distinguishes itself across the five pillars and has garnered the analysts' highest level of conviction.

Silver Fund with notable advantages across several, but perhaps not all, of the five pillars—strengths that give the analysts a high level of conviction.

Bronze Fund with advantages that outweigh the disadvantages across the five pillars and with sufficient level of analyst conviction to warrant a positive rating.

Neutral Fund that isn't likely to deliver standout returns but also isn't likely to significantly underperform, according to the analysts.

Negative Fund that has at least one flaw likely to significantly hamper future performance and that is considered by analysts an inferior offering to its peers.

B Morningstar Pillars The Morningstar Analyst Rating is based on an analyst's assessment of a fund in five critical areas: Process, Performance, People, Parent, and Price. Morningstar analysts assign a positive, neutral, or negative rating to each of the five pillars to help investors understand how a fund stacks up in the key areas underpinning their broad assessment and rating assigned

Process: Approach The first of the five pillars of the Analyst Rating, Process, is divided into two components—Approach and Portfolio. The Process: Approach page highlights the fund management team's general approach to investing and describes the team's philosophy and process for making investment decisions. It also provides an overview of a fund's portfolio by compiling data about its holdings into a series of aggregate style, asset class, and regional statistics. Data is shown in context, relative to a fund's category peers, index, and its own past. Note: Mutual funds are required to disclose holdings at least quarterly. In some cases, the portfolio date for a fund will be different from the date of the performance statistics.

Process: Portfolio The second component of the Process pillar is Portfolio. This page provides analyst insight into the fund's most-recent portfolio, highlighting recent shifts in the portfolio composition and how its holdings compare with the underlying composition of the fund's category index. It also lists the largest common holdings and largest distinct holdings, helping investors to see how differentiated a fund is from its benchmark.

Performance The second pillar of the Analyst Rating is Performance. This page provides the analyst's take on the fund's recent performance and places it in context with its longer-term performance trends. It also includes multiple return and risk measures that analyze the fund's performance on both an absolute and relative basis.

People The third pillar of the Analyst Rating is People. This page provides Morningstar's take on the fund's portfolio managers, as well as the analysts who support their efforts. Do they have advantages over their peers? Have they demonstrated their expertise and skill? Also included is information about the managers' ownership of fund shares to reveal if the fund's managers "eat their own cooking." Studies by Morningstar have shown that manager ownership is directly correlated to fund performance.

Parent The fourth pillar of the Analyst Rating is Parent. This page provides Morningstar's take on the fund's parent company with an analysis of the firm's stewardship practices and how it has treated shareholders in the past. Manager turnover at the firm, the investment culture, quality of research, ethics, fund board directors, and SEC sanctions all factor into the parent evaluation to determine whether or not a firm consistently puts investor interests first. For firms evaluated under Morningstar's Stewardship GradeSM for funds methodology, the grade directly informs the Parent rating, with A and B stewards receiving a Positive pillar score, C stewards a Neutral score, and D and F stewards a Negative score.

Price The final pillar of the Analyst Rating is Price, and it refers to the cost of purchasing and owning the fund, not its actual net asset value. This page provides a broader understanding of the fund's fees by showing absolute, relative, and historical fee metrics. Fees and investment costs are important because costs are one of the strongest predictors of future performance—the lower its costs, the better a fund tends to perform. This report includes several new data points, including the Fee Level relative to the fund's peer group and brokerage commission costs as a percentage of assets.

Mutual Fund A

ABCDX




Process: Approach

Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend

Category Index
Russell 1000 TR USD

Index Proxy
iShares Russell 1000 Index

C Equity Style Box 03/31/12




Style Box Factors 03/31/12

Market Cap	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Giant/Large	81.6	91.4	81.1	78.3
Mid	17.3	8.4	16.2	20.0
Small/Micro	1.1	0.2	2.7	1.7
Avg Market Cap Bil USD	33.0	36.2	70.6	40.8

Value Measures	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Price/Prospect. Earnings	10.5	12.0	13.3	13.6
Price/Book	1.4	1.7	2.1	2.0
Price/Sales	0.8	0.8	1.4	1.2
Price/Cash Flow	4.5	6.0	6.6	6.9
Dividend Yield	1.8	2.1	2.5	2.4

Growth Measures	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Long-Term Earnings %	10.3	10.9	9.2	10.5
Historical Earnings %	14.2	1.3	26.0	7.8
Sales %	-10.3	-4.7	0.7	4.7
Cash Flow %	3.5	2.5	4.1	9.0
Book-Value %	0.0	3.3	5.2	4.6

Asset Allocation 03/31/12



Regional Exposure 03/31/12

Market Type	Equity %	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Developed		95.7	95.1	99.6	100.0
Emerging		4.3	4.9	0.4	0.0

Top 5 Countries	Equity %	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
United States		72.9	68.2	93.8	99.4
Japan		6.8	4.6	0.3	0.0
Israel		3.9	2.5	0.2	0.0
Canada		2.6	3.6	0.8	0.1
United Kingdom		2.6	3.7	1.5	0.1

D Economic Moat 03/31/12


Moat Rating	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Wide Moat	15.9	25.6	54.5	39.9
Narrow Moat	48.8	47.5	39.6	47.4
No Moat	35.3	26.9	5.9	12.7
Coverage Ratio	81.2	82.7	91.8	94.3

E Key Ratios 03/31/12

	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Net Margin %	12.4	10.9	14.5	13.3
ROA %	6.9	6.2	9.0	9.0
Debt/Total Capital %	43.6	40.4	39.0	36.2
ROE %	22.1	17.5	23.9	22.0

Assets %	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
U.S. Equities	70.7	65.9	90.6	99.3
Non-U.S. Equities	26.3	30.8	6.0	0.6
Bonds	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.0
Cash	0.0	2.6	1.9	0.1
Other	2.5	—	0.2	0.0

F Sector Delta 03/31/12



Equity Sector Breakdown 03/31/12

Sectors	Fund	3-Yr Avg	Category	Proxy
Defensive	13.0	23.4	27.6	24.9
Consumer Defensive	1.9	5.3	13.7	10.4
Healthcare	10.7	18.0	11.7	11.1
Utilities	0.4	0.1	2.2	3.3
Sensitive	49.3	38.0	44.7	45.5
Communication Serv.	2.7	2.3	3.6	4.1
Energy	9.9	8.2	10.5	10.7
Industrials	23.0	10.8	13.0	12.3
Technology	13.7	16.8	17.6	18.4
Cyclical	37.8	38.6	27.7	29.6
Basic Materials	1.1	7.0	1.7	3.3
Consumer Cyclical	18.3	13.6	9.6	10.4
Financial Services	18.4	17.9	16.0	13.3
Real Estate	0.0	0.1	0.4	2.6

C Style Box The Morningstar Style Box represents of a fund's overall investment style. Within this report, a detailed version of the Equity Style Box is presented. Instead of showing placement within one of nine squares, the style box position of individual securities within the fund portfolio is shown, along with a "centroid" that represents the weighted average for the holdings and an "ownership zone" that encompasses 75% of the fund's holdings.

D Economic Moat In general terms, "economic moat" refers to a firm's ability to keep competitors at bay for an extended period. Morningstar's equity research analysts assign Economic Moat Ratings of "Wide Moat," "Narrow Moat," or "None" to individual companies. This section reveals the percentage of the fund's moat-rated equity holdings

that fall into each category for the most-recent portfolio and the average for the past three years. The Coverage Ratio indicates the percentage of stocks in the equity portion of the portfolio for which Morningstar equity analysts have assigned economic moats.

E Key Ratios Average numbers for the fund's equity holdings are shown for four key ratios: Net Margin %, ROA % (return on assets %), Debt/Total Capital %, and ROE % (return on equity %). The Fund column reflects the values of the most recent portfolio reporting period alongside the 3-Year average, and averages for the category and index proxy. In general, higher numbers are preferable for all of these percentages, with the exception of Debt/Total Capital.

F Sector Delta Morningstar's proprietary sector analysis divides the stock universe into three major economic spheres, or Super Sectors: Defensive, Sensitive, and Cyclical. Each Super Sector includes three or four underlying sectors for a total of 11 industry sectors. The Sector Delta graph illustrates the fund's exposure to Morningstar Super Sectors relative to a benchmark. The delta is anchored on an index proxy, and the fund's positioning is shown relative to the index. The fund moves toward the Super Sectors where it has the greatest overweighting and away from sectors where it has the greatest underweighting. Funds that appear within the inner ring of the triangle are broadly diversified, and funds toward the edges have deviated from the index's sector weightings in a significant way.

Mutual Fund A

ABCDX



Process: Portfolio

Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend

Category Index
Russell 1000 TR USD

Index Proxy
iShares Russell 1000 Index

Similarity to Index Proxy 06/15/12

G Index Proxy Overlap		Number of Equity Holdings		Largest Common Holdings		Fund % Proxy %		Largest Distinct Holdings		Fund %	
Proxy	Fund	Fund	87	JPMorgan Chase & Co	5.24	1.02	Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd ADR	3.75			
		Index Proxy	977	Microsoft Corporation	3.19	1.63	Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.	2.69			
		Fund Overlap With Index Proxy		Apple, Inc.		2.25 3.89		Itochu Corp.		2.54	
		Holdings Overlap		General Electric Co		1.96 1.55		Ensco PLC ADR		2.00	
		Asset Overlap		Google, Inc. Class A		1.55 1.04		Safran SA		1.98	

Circle size represents number of holdings.

Portfolio Holdings 03/31/12

Current Portfolio Date	Previous Portfolio Date	Equity Holdings	Bond Holdings	Assets in Top 10 Holdings	Turnover Ratio (Reported)
03/31/12	02/29/12	87	1	34%	75%

Top 10 Holdings	Country	Assets % 03/31/12	Assets % 02/29/12	Proxy % 03/31/12	H First Bought	I Days Trading	Morningstar Rating for Stocks	Economic Moat	Sector	1-Yr Total Ret %
⊖ JPMorgan Chase & Co	USA	5.24	5.23	1.02	09/10	<1	★★★★★	Narrow	Financial Services	-11.31
Ford Motor Co	USA	4.91	4.85	0.28	03/07	1	★★★★★	None	Consumer Cyclical	-20.53
Dow Chemical Co	USA	3.94	3.80	0.28	01/10	2	★★★	None	Industrials	-2.11
Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd ADR	ISR	3.75	3.72	—	06/05	2	★★★★★	Narrow	Healthcare	-21.53
⊖ Microsoft Corporation	USA	3.19	3.14	1.63	09/11	<1	★★★★★	Wide	Technology	29.65
⊕ Citigroup Inc	USA	2.81	2.53	0.60	05/11	<1	★★★★★	Narrow	Financial Services	-25.39
⊖ Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group, Inc.	JPN	2.69	3.07	—	12/09	1	—	None	Financial Services	3.26
United Continental Holdings Inc	USA	2.58	2.47	0.05	05/10	3	★★★	None	Industrials	-1.54
Itochu Corp.	JPN	2.54	2.63	—	01/10	4	—	—	Industrials	7.74
Chesapeake Energy Corp	USA	2.36	2.53	0.09	02/11	<1	★★★★★	Narrow	Energy	-35.85

Change since last portfolio: ⊕ Increase ⊖ Decrease ✨ New

New Holdings Largest Purchases	% Assets	Sector	Eliminated Holdings Largest Sales	% Assets	Sector	Largest Position Changes	Current %	Prior %
Glencore International PLC	0.59	Energy	Suncor Energy Inc	0.53	Energy	⊖ JPMorgan Chase & Co	5.24	5.23
Dunkin Brands Group Inc	0.49	Consumer Cyclical	Wells Fargo & Co	0.50	Financial Services	⊖ Apple, Inc.	2.25	2.67
Cheniere Energy Inc	0.39	Energy	Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.	0.25	Healthcare	⊕ AutoZone Inc	1.07	0.52
Yandex NV	0.28	Technology	Barrick Gold Corporation	0.24	Financial Services	⊖ Goldman Sachs Group Inc	0.74	1.63
Equinix, Inc.	0.28	Technology	Sony Corporation ADR	0.23	Consumer Cyclical	✨ Glencore International PLC	0.59	0.00

G Index Proxy Overlap This figure shows what percent of a fund's holdings is shared with its prospectus benchmark. A larger shaded, overlapping area in the circle labeled "Fund" indicate more shared holdings. A smaller number of shared holdings can indicate a more active manager. Note that this figure does not account for asset overlap, which is shown in the data table on the right of the image.

H First Bought Date First Bought Date is the first portfolio date when a stock has continuously been in the portfolio. If the First Bought Dates of most of a fund's stock holdings are recent, this can be a measure of high turnover within a portfolio. Note that this data point does not show the first time a fund has ever purchased a particular stock. For instance, if Mutual Fund A buys Ford Motor Company in January 1995, sells it in January 2005, buys it again in January 2007, and has held it since, First Bought Date will show January 2007 as the stock's First Bought Date.

I Days Trading Days Trading is a measure of fund portfolio liquidity. Morningstar calculates Days Trading by dividing the number of shares of a stock by its average daily trading volume. Higher Days Trading can indicate that a fund will have difficulty unwinding its position in a stock.

✨ The Process pages depicted here are for the Equity report. The Fixed Income report shows the portfolio's credit quality, interest-rate sensitivity, income statistics, and fixed income sector break downs. The Allocation report shows a combination of both Equity-related and Fixed Income-related portfolio metrics.

Mutual Fund A ABCDX



Performance


Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend

Category Index
Russell 1000 TR USD

J Morningstar Rating and Risk 05/31/12

Period	Load-Adj Return %	Morningstar Ret vs Cat	Morningstar Risk vs Cat	Morningstar Rating
3 Years	4.67	Low	Above Avg	★
5 Years	-4.83	Low	High	★
10 Years	4.79	Above Avg	High	★★★
Overall Rating				★★

3-Year Return and Risk Analysis 05/31/12




Return/Risk Analysis 05/31/12

	Fund	Category	Index
Standard Deviation	19.40	16.96	16.42
Sharpe Ratio	0.42	0.80	0.95
R-Squared	92.99	96.82	—
Beta	1.17	1.04	—
Alpha	-9.46	-2.39	—

Maximum Drawdown


	Fund	Category	Index
Max Drawdown (%)	-56.23	-50.79	-51.13
Peak Date	11/07	11/07	11/07
Valley Date	02/09	02/09	02/09
Max Drawdown (Months)	16.00	16.00	16.00




L Trailing Returns (Annualized) 05/31/12

	Total Ret %	Investor Ret %	% Rank in Cat	Cat Ret %	Index Ret %
1 Year	-13.29	-15.13	96	-4.39	-1.23
3 Years	6.66	9.32	97	12.75	15.28
5 Years	-3.75	-5.48	85	-1.93	-0.75
10 Years	5.39	1.64	6	3.52	4.52
Tenure 07/96	11.57	—	—	5.38	6.83
Inception 07/96	11.57	—	—	5.38	6.83

N 3-Year Performance Attribution 04/30/12



M Rolling-Returns Summary 05/31/12



Factor	Fund	Category Median	Percentile 95th	5th
Sector Allocation	-1.33	-0.61	-3.00	0.62
Country Allocation	—	—	—	—
Stock Selection	-5.37	-0.55	-4.86	2.68
Fee	-1.31	1.22	1.74	0.78
Total Attribution	-6.47	—	—	—

Performance Attribution Index Proxy:
iShares Russell 1000 Index

J Morningstar Rating The Morningstar Rating for funds provides a quick assessment of a fund's past risk- and load-adjusted performance relative to its category peers. Funds are rated for the trailing three-, five-, and 10-year periods, and these ratings are combined to produce an overall rating. The Morningstar Rating for funds is completely quantitative and based solely on each fund's past risk-adjusted performance.

K History The History graph provides performance details for the current year and up to 10 previous years. It includes the fund's rolling 12-month historical returns. Income Return is the portion of total return attributed to distributions, assuming that the investor incurs no transaction fees, pays no taxes at the time of distribution, and reinvests all distributions paid during the period. The graph also notes any full or partial manager changes.

L Trailing Returns This section highlights Morningstar Investor Returns™ (also known as dollar-weighted returns). Investor Returns measure how the average investor fared in a fund during each time period by incorporating the impact of cash inflows and outflows from purchases and sales. Tenure return is a point-to-point calculation, with the start date being the start date of the longest-tenured manager on the fund. When compared, these values provide insight into the relative performance of a fund under current management and over its lifetime.

M Rolling-Returns Summary Rolling returns offer a useful lens into a fund's full return history and can help investors see through the haze caused by the latest data. For example, a fund's current trailing three-year return measures one discrete period. With rolling returns an investor can look back over a much longer period to see how a particular fund

stacked up in every three-year period throughout its relevant history, encompassing a wider range of market environments. The Rolling-Returns feature provides a quick look at the dispersion of rolling 36-month returns and show often the fund landed in each of its category's quartiles. The statistics are survivorship-bias-free, using the rank against category peers as of the historical date.

N 3-Year Performance Attribution Performance-attribution analysis compares the fund's return with that of its category index and attributes the excess return to decisions in the investment-management process and other factors. Attribution statistics are not available for some Morningstar categories.

Mutual Fund A

ABCDX




People

Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend

Category Index
Russell 1000 Value TR USD

0 Experience and Asset Load

Total AUM Bil USD in Funds Managed



P Management Team

Number of Managers
5

Longest Tenure
17.42 Years

5-Year Manager-Retention Rate (Firm-wide)
81%

Largest Manager Investment in Fund
More than 1 Mil USD

Advisor
Fund Company, LLC

Subadvisor
Asset Management Company, LLP

● Fund Manager 08/99 to Present

Years of Experience
13

Investment in Fund
More than 1 Mil USD

Largest Funds Managed	Tenure Dates	Role	Fund Size Bil USD	Investment Mil USD	Turnover Ratio Avg	Tenure Ret %	Index Ret %
Mutual Fund A	08/99-Present	Lead	8.45	>1	36	5.25	3.79
Mutual Fund B	04/05-Present	1 of 5	2.14	None	38	3.07	3.71
Mutual Fund C	08/99-Present	Lead	1.87	None	36	5.20	3.79
Mutual Fund D	06/01-Present	1 of 6	0.91	<0.1	49	3.28	4.17
Mutual Fund E	05/02-Present	Lead	0.32	None	31	4.44	4.77

Fund AUM
15,219 Mil USD

Current Funds Managed
13

● Fund Comanager 04/95 to Present

Years of Experience
17

Investment in Fund
500,001 to 1,000,000 USD

Largest Funds Managed	Tenure Dates	Role	Fund Size Bil USD	Investment Mil USD	Turnover Ratio Avg	Tenure Ret %	Index Ret %
Mutual Fund A	04/95-Present	1 of 5	8.45	<1	58	9.46	8.71
Mutual Fund B	04/05-Present	1 of 5	2.14	None	38	3.07	3.71
Mutual Fund C	04/99-Present	1 of 5	1.87	None	36	4.82	3.62
Mutual Fund D	06/01-Present	Lead	0.91	>1	49	3.28	4.17
Mutual Fund E	05/02-Present	1 of 5	0.32	None	31	4.44	4.77

Fund AUM
15,105 Mil USD

Current Funds Managed
12

● Fund Comanager 07/07 to Present

Years of Experience
13

Investment in Fund
100,001 to 500,000 USD

Largest Funds Managed	Tenure Dates	Role	Fund Size Bil USD	Investment Mil USD	Turnover Ratio Avg	Tenure Ret %	Index Ret %
Mutual Fund A	07/07-Present	1 of 5	8.45	<0.5	17	0.37	-0.62
Mutual Fund B	12/07-Present	1 of 5	2.14	None	32	0.79	-0.36
Mutual Fund C	07/07-Present	1 of 5	1.87	None	27	0.47	-0.62
Mutual Fund D	07/07-Present	1 of 6	0.91	<0.05	38	-2.17	-0.62

Fund AUM
15,105 Mil USD

Current Funds Managed
12

0 Experience and Asset Load This graph plots manager tenure on the horizontal axis, against total assets under management for each individual manager on the vertical axis. It provides a quick snapshot of an individual manager's fund management experience.

P Management Team Statistics are shown here about the number, tenure, and investments of portfolio managers. Mutual funds are required to disclose in their Statement of Additional Information how much money each portfolio manager has invested in the fund. The largest disclosure category is "more than \$1 million." The 5-Year Manager-Retention Rate measures what percentage of a firm's portfolio managers stayed with the firm during the past five calendar years.

Q Fund AUM This number represents the total amount of open-end fund assets that the manager currently runs. Note that this does not include separate accounts, which can be a substantial amount of assets.

R Tenure Ret % and Index Ret % Tenure Return % is the annualized return of the fund since the manager started running the strategy. This is useful to compare with Index Return %, which measures the relevant index's annualized return in the same time period.

Mutual Fund A ABCDX




Parent

Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend


Category Index
Russell 1000 TR USD

Assets By Region, By Domicile Bil USD




Asset Class Breakdown United States

Assets Bil USD



Asset Class	Assets Bil USD	Assets %
US Stock	56.0	64.4
Non-US Stock	4.0	4.6
Balanced	6.8	7.9
Taxable Bond	18.8	21.7
Municipal Bond	0.6	0.7
Alternative	—	—
Commodities	—	—
Total Assets	87.0	100.0




Flows and Growth United States


■ Quarterly Net Flows USD
— Growth Rate %

Fund Family Details United States


Morningstar Rating Breakdown




Fee Quintile Breakdown



Manager Tenure



Manager Ownership



S Flows and Growth Morningstar estimates fund-level flow data and aggregates it at the firm level. The Flows and Growth graph shows quarterly net flows and the firm's asset growth rate, along with annual total net assets and industry market share. This information illustrates the movement of money into and out of the firm's funds over time, which can be particularly illustrative in times of market volatility.

T Fund Family Details This section provides aggregate data for all of the firm's mutual funds in terms of the Morningstar Rating, Fee Quintile Breakdown, Manager Tenure, and Manager Ownership. The Morningstar Rating Breakdown may show that a firm's funds tend to perform well or poorly on a risk-adjusted basis versus category peers. Shops with ratings clustered around 3 stars may be more index-focused or risk-averse. The Success Ratio shows the percentage of the firm's mutual funds that have a three-year Morningstar category rank of less than 50, capturing the percentage of funds with category median-beating performance during the time period.

The Fee Quintile Breakdown shows if the firm's funds are expensive or cheap within their Morningstar Fee Quintile groups. Morningstar research has shown a strong correlation between low-cost funds and investor success. Likewise, funds with both a long Manager Tenure and high Manager Ownership levels are likely, on average, to be more successful than funds without these traits.

Mutual Fund A

ABCDX



Price


Morningstar Category
US OE Large Blend

Category Index
Russell 1000 TR USD

The fund could be cheaper given its size.

Price Pillar ● Neutral

May 21, 2012 | About half of the fund's assets reside in share classes priced below average relative to similarly sold peers, including the A shares, which hold the greatest chunk of assets as of April 2012. Other share classes, including I and C, are priced just average relative to their respective peers. However, expenses could stand to be much lower considering the fund's size, which stood at nearly \$13 billion as of April. Several actively managed broker-sold funds sport lower expense ratios despite having smaller asset bases. At this asset level, the fund has exceeded its last break point for management fees, which means those are unlikely to drop further even if assets grow.



V Expense Breakdown

Component	Value	Unit
Net Expense Ratio	1.12	%
Annual Report 10/31/11	1.12	
Prospectus 06/05/12	1.12	
Selected Components		
Management Actual	0.65	%
12b-1 Fee	0.25	
Brokerage Commission (% of Avg Net Assets)	0.16	
Category Average	0.10	
Tax Cost Ratio (3-Year)	0.21	
Potential Capital Gains Exposure	-19	

W Fee Level

Fee Level	Peer Group
Below Avg	Large Cap Front Load
Fee Level Breakpoints	
High	>1.41
Above Average	1.25-1.41
Average	1.17-1.25
Below Average	1.05-1.17
Low	<1.05
Fund	1.12
Peer Median	—

Share Class Information

Share Class	Identifier	Status	Expense Ratio	Fee Level	Peer Group	12b-1 Fee	Front Load	Deferred Load	Minimum Initial Inv	Assets Bil USD	1-Yr Flow Mil USD
A	ABCDX	Open	1.12	Below Avg	Large Cap Front Load	0.25	5.50	—	2,000	4.97	-1,917.35
Inst	BBCDX	Open	0.87	Avg	Large Cap Institutional	—	—	—	2,000	2.98	-1,305.94
C	CBCDX	Open	1.84	Avg	Large Cap Level Load	1.00	—	1.00	2,000	1.72	-803.27
Inst	DBCX	Open	0.70	Below Avg	Large Cap Institutional	—	—	—	1,000,000	1.35	-780.19

U Expense History This time-series graph of expense ratio against fund size shows the relationship between the fund's price and its assets under management. Ideally, as assets increase, expenses should decrease because of economies of scale in running the strategy.

V Expense Breakdown This table lists the Net Expense Ratio from the fund's most recent annual report and most recent prospectus. It also breaks down several expense components and includes brokerage commission as a percentage of net assets with the category average provided for context.

W Fee Level This section puts the expenses of the fund in context by showing the range of fees charged by its peers. An overall Fee Level for the fund is shown, along with breakpoints for five quintiles of fee levels for the fund's peer group. Morningstar defines fee level peer groups by combining some categories with similar expected expenses, such as large value, large blend, and large growth, then segmenting the category group by distribution class of front-load, deferred-load, level-load, no-load, or institutional to provide more-relevant fee comparisons.

Global Fund Reports

For more than 25 years, Morningstar analysts have been providing independent, qualitative written research on mutual funds. The research aims to provide insights into funds' sustainable advantages and forward-looking perspective into how they might behave in a variety of market environments, helping investors choose superior funds, maintain realistic performance expectations, and manage their portfolios more wisely.

Morningstar Analyst Rating™

★ Gold 🥈 Silver 🥉 Bronze Neutral Negative

The Morningstar Analyst Rating for funds is based on the analyst's conviction in the fund's ability to outperform its peer group and/or relevant benchmark on a risk-adjusted basis over the long term.

The Five Pillars: Unified Global Methodology



People

How talented are the fund's managers?



Process

What is the strategy, and how does that translate into the portfolio?



Parent

What priorities prevail at the firm? Stewardship or salesmanship?



Performance

Why did the fund behave a certain way in different markets?



Price

Is the fund a good value proposition compared to similar funds sold through similar channels?

Fund Coverage Around the Globe

100+ Analysts, 4000+ Funds.

